# PROMOTING SELF-REGULATED LEARNING APPROACHES IN A SCIENCE ONLINE INQUIRY LEARNING CONTEXT

# Danhui Zhang

Contact Author: Danhui Zhang (danhuizhang@bnu.edu.cn) Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

# THEME

Engaging students in STEM education

# AIMS AND BACKGROUND

Web-based scientific inquiry learning environments possess advantages different to those offered by traditional classroom contexts. For instance, they can emphasize students' autonomous inquiry learning rather than teachers' lecturing, provide students with more technological support and flexibility to conduct explorations independently (Ren, 2004), facilitate students' engagement and communication during the learning process (Kim & Lim, 2019), and improve students' science competency (Chiu, 2010; Chiu & Linn, 2008; Clark & Sampson, 2008; Raes, Schellens, & De Wever, 2014). However, students will be faced with new challenges in such environments. Online inquiry learning demands students not only have domain-specific background content knowledge, but also possess the competency to selfregulate their learning efficiently (Azevedo & Witherspoon, 2009). A number of studies have focused on how to improve self-regulated online learning (Albelbisi & Yusop, 2019; Hadwin & Winne, 2001; Lin, Lai, & Chang, 2015). It has been discovered that providing supportive guidance, such as diverse scaffolding strategies (Lim & Kim, 2019; Lin et al., 2015) or supportive formative assessment (AI-Smadi & Guetl, 2011), was an effective way to minimize the difficulties and challenges in an online learning environment (Al-Smadi & Guetl, 2011; Kim & Lim, 2019).

### METHODOLOGY

Grounded on self-determination theory, two quasi-experimental studies with pre and post measurements were conducted to address two questions. First, how can autonomy– and structured– support be used to improve students' inquiry learning in autonomous web-based inquiry learning environments? Second, how does teachers' affective-support feedback influence student inquiry engagement?

### **RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

The results shed light on the positive impacts of integrating both autonomy and structured support and emphasize the critical role of teachers in web-based inquiry learning environments. They also highlight the importance of teacher's emotional support on students' behavioural engagement in web-based learning.

### REFERENCES

Albelbisi, N. A., & Yusop, F. D. (2019). Factors Influencing Learners' Self – Regulated Learning Skills in a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) Environment. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, 20(3), 1-16.

- Al-Smadi, M., & Guetl, C. (2011). Supporting self-regulated learners with formative assessments using automatically created QTI-questions. In *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference*, IEEE, 288-294.
- Azevedo, R., & Witherspoon, A. M. (2009). Self-regulated learning with hypermedia. In *Handbook of metacognition in education* (pp. 331-351). Routledge.
- Chiu, J. L. (2010). Supporting students' knowledge integration with technology-enhanced inquiry curricula (Doctoral dissertation). University of California, Berkeley.
- Chiu, J. L., & Linn, M. C. (2008). Self-assessment and self-explanation for learning chemistry using dynamic molecular visualizations. In *Proceedings of the 8th international conference for the learning sciences-Volume* 3 (pp. 16-17). International Society of the Learning Sciences.
- Clark, D. B., & Sampson, V. (2008). Assessing dialogic argumentation in online environments to relate structure, grounds, and conceptual quality. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *45*(3), 293-321.
- Hadwin, A., & Winne, P. (2001). CoNoteS2: A software tool for promoting self-regulation. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, *7*, 313-334.
- Kim, J. Y. & Lim. K. Y. (2019). Promoting learning in online,ill-structured problem solving: the effects of scaffolding type and metacognition level. *Computers & Education, 138*, 116-129.
- Lin, J.W., Lai, Y.C., & Chang, L.C. (2015). Fostering self-regulated learning in a blended environment using group awareness and peer assistance as external scaffolds. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. 32, 77-93.
- Raes A, Schellens T, De Wever B. (2014). Web-based collaborative inquiry to bridge gaps in secondary science education. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 23(3), 316-347.
- Ren, C.S. (2004). Inquiry Learning: The Self-determined Construction of Students' Knowledge—Some Thoughts from the Two Inquiry Cases. *Curriculum, Teaching Material and Method (Chinese Journal)*. 01, 37-42.