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Branchinella resting eggs are characterised by having surface ridges arranged more or less in polygons and by almost all species having few, if any, spines. The eggs of 33 out of a known possible 40 species (including 4 out of 6 undescribed species) were studied by SEM. A few species are distinctive by being adorned with lighter coloured surface membranes often strengthened by ribs or sparse spines and one ( $B$. longirostris) is regularly spinose. Those species known to be morphological variable also have variable egg morphologies. This makes it difficult to characterise specific egg morphology, but even so in some species eggs are distinct : B. arborea, B. australiensis, B. budjiti, B. compacta, B. complexidigitata, B. hattahensis, B. kadjikadji, B. longirostris, B. lyrifera, B. occidentalis, B. pinderi and B. vosperi. Most of the remainder are easily confused with at least one or more species. Branchinella egg morphology seems of little value in taxonomical studies and of restricted use in distinguishing eggs in dried sediments.
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## INTRODUCTION

The resting eggs of fairy shrimps have a tough outer layer, the tertiary envelope, which is often sculptured and may be specifically characteristic (Mura, 1986, 1991a, 1991b, 1992a, 1992b, 2001; Thiéry \& Gasc, 1991, Thiéry et al., 2007; Brendonck \& Coomans, 1994a,1994b; Hill \& Shepard, 1997; Timms et al., 2004). One of the first egg shells to be described was of the Australian Streptocephalus archeri (Sars, 1986) and soon afterwards of Branchinella australiensis Richters, 1876 (as B. eyrensis Daday, 1910). These early descriptions used drawings based on microscopic observations, but in more recent times SEM technology has been used with great success (see references above). For Australia, Timms et al. 2004 provided an SEM study of 31 species, including 22 of Branchinella. This showed that the four genera naturally in Australia (Australobranchipus, Branchinella, Parartemia and Streptocephalus) have distinctive egg shapes and surface patterns and that within Branchinella many species, but not all, have distinctive morphology.

Optimism prevailed in the early 1990s that many species had an immutable unique pattern and hence the presence of a species at a dried site could be detected by examining bottom mud microscopically. Keys were constructed to aid this (eg Thiéry \& Gasc, 1991). However further work showed problems in sampling eggs, and far too much variability within many species (Mura 1991b; Mura \& Rosetti, 2010) for the widespread use of egg morphology. Moreover, in some species egg sculpturing maybe predator-inducible (Dumont et al., 2002) so masking any morphological relationships. Understandably, enthusiasm for egg studies waned. However environmental evaluations in recent times have needed to assess fauna in dried sites (eg Beladjal \& Mertens, 2003; D.C. Rogers, pers. comm. for California; V. Campagna, pers. comm. for Western Australia) so that knowledge on resting eggs is a valuable aid, if the limitations are understood.

The aim of the present study is use detailed morphometrics to evaluate the eggs of as many species of Branchinella as possible, with a view to understanding which species have distinctive egg sculpturing, and how much this might be variable
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in such widespread species as $B$. australiensis (Geddes, 1981) or species known to be otherwise variable as adults such as $B$. longirostris (Zofkova \& Timms, 2009). A subsidiary aim is to gain further insight, within the limits of resting egg variability, of relationships between species as determined by morphology (Geddes, 1981).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Of the presently 35 described species of Branchinella, 29 were available for study. In addition 4 undescribed species were also studied. For most species just one population was examined, but seven species had 2 to 5 populations studied and two species known to be particularly variable (B. australiensis and $B$. longirostris) had 7 and 8 populations examined respectively. Locality details for each are given in Appendix 1.

Resting eggs from each collection were removed from the brood pouches of 2-3 mature ovigerous females which were preserved in $70 \%$ alcohol or $4 \%$ formalin, and then stored in $90-95 \%$ alcohol for weeks to many months. This variable length of preservation may have affected the degree of hydration of the eggs. If the eggs seemed old or damaged as judged from the breakdown of the tertiary layer they were rejected (e.g. this happened for populations of B. occidentalis and $B$. frondosa in this study. Also eggs of $B$. nana had to be rejected as they were immature). Eggs were then air-dried and mounted on carbon tabs on aluminium stubs, gold sputter coated and then 10 per collection were photographed on a Zeiss Evo LS15 SEM using a Robinson Backscatter Detector. For each egg an average egg diameter was determined from three measurements and the character of the ridges and depressions noted. The later were counted on the visible side (whole depressions plus some only partly visible (scored as $3 / 4$ or $1 / 2$ or $1 / 4$ according to how much is readily visible) and then doubled to obtain the total number of depressions per egg. For species with extremely numerous depressions (i.e $>$ 100) only wholly visible depressions were counted. Accuracy was estimated at $\pm 3 \%$ when less than 100 depressions and at $\pm 6 \%$ when more than 100 . The third quantitative parameter measured was the ratio of wall height to the average width of the depressions (wh:dw).

Some descriptive terms are used to describe the shapes of the depressions. While depression shape is basically polygonal, this term is used loosely; when the sides of the polygon is made up of five almost equal sides then the term pentagonal is used, but
when the shape is irregular and hardly polygonal then the descriptors linear when main axis $>2 \mathrm{x}$ axis at right angles or constricted when narrower in the middle than at the ends are used. Various terms are used to refer to the shape and thickness of the ridges that make up the walls of the depressions including triangular when the cross section is distinctly angular with straight sides, rounded when the cross section is an inverted $U$ shape, punctuate when pitted, and ropey when uneven with regular bulges like a rope. The floor of the depressions may be flat or mildly, moderately or strongly concave. A mild concavity is one where depth is less than 0.1 of the diameter and a strongly concave surface has a depth greater than 0.5 of the diameter; intermediate values are considered to be moderate concave. However it is believed the degree of concavity is influenced to some degree by the state of hydration of the egg, so this character needs to be assessed with care. The surface of the depressions especially the floor, maybe smooth or dimpled (which may be small/weak, strong or even angled). Weak dimpling is defined as the height being less than the diameter of the dimple, and strong dimples have the height greater than the diameter. All these measurements have to be estimated by eye as there is no vertical scale readily available. Sometimes the depression walls or ridge crests are punctured with pores (simple or complex) or have minute spines. Some species have the crest ridges adorned with membranes or spines of various natures.

## RESULTS

Descriptions of the eggs of 33 species are given below and in Tables 1 and 2 and illustrated in Figures $1-5$. For the majority of species, in which only one population was studied, the descriptions are short and may not encompass possible variability in that species. In an attempt to encompass variability, descriptions are longer for those where many populations were studied.
B. affinis Linder 1941 (Fig. 1a,b). Average size 215.3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean depression number 39.2. Size and number of depressions vary between east and west Australia. Depressions irregular, often invaginated and with dimpled floors and sides. Ridges usually distinct and rounded; if fully hydrated (Fig. 1b) then depression shallow (wall height:depression width ratio $<0.2$ ) and floors mildly concave, but if dehydrated (Fig. 1a) then depresssions much folded and deep ( $w h: d w>0.5$ ) and floors markedly concave.

## B.V. TIMMS AND S. LINDSAY

| Table 1. Comparisons of egg sizes and depression numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | egg sizes in umm |  |  |  |  |  | depression numbers |  |
|  | Timms et al 2004 |  |  | present study |  |  | present study |  |
| Species | range | mean | n | mean | $\pm$ SD | n | mean | $\pm$ SD |
| B. affinis | 95-134 | 113.2 | 20 | 215.3 | 44.21 | 30 | 39.2 | 7.29 |
| B. arborea | 183-201 | 191.6 | 10 | 214.9 | 4.65 | 10 | 20.7 | 1.64 |
| B. australiensis | 197-222 | 213.5 | 20 | 310.1 | 50.58 | 70 | 21.2 | 8.11 |
| B. basispina | 225-275 | 253.5 | 10 | 248.3 | 8.20 | 10 | 31.8 | 1.48 |
| B. buchananensis | 204-232 | 217.9 | 10 | 244.2 | 8.78 | 10 | 36.2 | 3.71 |
| B. budjiti | 141-155 | 144.7 | 23 | 225.9 | 6.71 | 10 | 20.8 | 1.70 |
| B. campbelli | 162-194 | 172.3 | 20 | 191.6 | 4.95 | 10 | 29.2 | 2.53 |
| B. clandestina |  |  |  | 143.1 | 8.87 | 10 | 32.1 | 2.33 |
| B. compacta |  |  |  | 381.4 | 83.86 | 30 | 20.6 | 6.64 |
| B. complexidigitata | 211-307 | 251.0 | 40 | 268.0 | 5.54 | 10 | 127.8 | 4.05 |
| B. denticulata |  |  |  | 175.4 | 10.28 | 10 | 39.6 | 2.66 |
| B. dubia | 187-215 | 187.1 | 32 | 222.6 | 4.93 | 10 | 30.2 | 2.17 |
| B. frondosa | 185-211 | 191.1 | 10 | 202.0 | 8.29 | 10 | 32.7 | 2.54 |
| B. halsei |  |  |  | 189.9 | 12.07 | 50 | 44.2 | 22.67 |
| B. hattahensis | 254-289 | 268.9 | 20 | 257.9 | 6.20 | 10 | 44.4 | 4.41 |
| B. kadjikadji | 254 | 254.0 | 2 | 297.8 | 6.34 | 10 | 102.5 | 13.29 |
| B. lamellata | 124-180 | 147.6 | 31 | 182.0 | 12.94 | 10 | 47.1 | 1.90 |
| B. longirostris | 264-300 | 276.9 | 29 | 276.4 | 28.64 | 80 | 164.1 | 64.55 |
| B. Iyrifera | 158-183 | 171.5 | 20 | 213.4 | 14.52 | 20 | 615 | 88.68 |
| B. mcraeae |  |  |  | 175.0 | 7.63 | 10 | 35.4 | 2.20 |
| B. nana | 144-158 | 152.3 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. nichollsi | 187-247 | 202.3 | 30 | 295.3 | 5.72 | 10 | 34.4 | 1.84 |
| B. occidentalis | 550-571 | 565.3 | 20 | 492.2 | 24.46 | 20 | 53.1 | 17.69 |
| B. papillata |  |  |  | 293.9 | 7.80 | 10 | 33.0 | 2.47 |
| B. pinderi |  |  |  | 292.1 | 6.92 | 10 | 95.2 | 3.40 |
| B. pinnata | 173-190 | 181.1 | 10 | 198.8 | 13.31 | 20 | 27.7 | 3.69 |
| B. proboscida | 158-187 | 174.9 | 19 | 220.0 | 8.32 | 10 | 72.7 | 5.65 |
| B. simplex | 144-201 | 176.4 | 32 | 301.4 | 12.32 | 10 | 41 | 3.93 |
| B. vosperi |  |  |  | 433.4 | 7.80 | 10 | 111.2 | 5.31 |
| B. wellardi | 158-176 | 168.4 | 30 | 181.1 | 8.44 | 10 | 47.2 | 4.69 |
| B. new species K |  |  |  | 160.1 | 8.45 | 10 | 41 | 3.27 |
| B. new species M |  |  |  | 223.7 | 6.08 | 10 | 41.5 | 4.16 |
| B. new species S |  |  |  | 169.5 | 10.43 | 10 | 50.4 | 6.18 |
| B. new species $Y$ |  |  |  | 174.7 | 9.43 | 10 | 35.3 | 3.30 |

Table 2 Measurements from populations of some highly variable species; measurements of 10 eggs from each site.

| Location | egg size in ųm |  | depressions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mean | $\pm$ SD | mean | $\pm$ SD |
| B. affinis |  |  |  |  |
| Bloodwood Station, NSW | 156.3 | 4.54 | 33.8 | 3.82 |
| near Emu Rock, WA | 258.3 | 6.38 | 47.7 | 4.74 |
| Grass Patch, WA | 231.3 | 9.11 | 36.0 | 2.75 |
| B. australiensis |  |  |  |  |
| L. Hutchinson, Qld | 213.0 | 6.50 | 15.8 | 1.23 |
| The Gums, Qld | 332.4 | 7.06 | 15.0 | 1.00 |
| Lake Goran, NSW | 321.0 | 5.62 | 19.2 | 1.81 |
| Snowleigh Station, NSW | 377.8 | 8.27 | 17.1 | 1.62 |
| Poodina, SA | 274.3 | 12.03 | 39.1 | 2.88 |
| Kau NR, Esperance WA | 318.5 | 11.88 | 23.5 | 2.17 |
| Laverton, WA | 338.6 | 8.35 | 18.0 | 1.87 |
| B. compacta |  |  |  |  |
| Avon Lake, NSW | 290.0 | 10.39 | 28.2 | 2.31 |
| Little Unicup Lake, WA | 485.2 | 14.32 | 13.4 | 2.70 |
| Marchagee Rd, WA | 369.1 | 4.85 | 20.2 | 1.00 |
| B. halsei |  |  |  |  |
| L. Hutchinson, Qld | 199.3 | 4.36 | 31.4 | 1.85 |
| Bloodwood Station, NSW | 198.8 | 6.53 | 36.8 | 3.55 |
| Ilparpa Claypan, NT | 188.0 | 7.50 | 85.3 | 6.48 |
| Lake Cronin, WA | 173.9 | 8.66 | 22.6 | 1.33 |
| Mundabullagana Station, WA | 189.1 | 12.48 | 44.0 | 3.27 |
| B. longirostris |  |  |  |  |
| Walga Rock, WA | 271.5 | 8.43 | 273.2 | 14.62 |
| Wardagga Rocks, WA | 311.7 | 11.25 | 144.0 | 10.09 |
| Yorkrakine Rocks, WA | 308.6 | 12.00 | 125.6 | 8.29 |
| Elachbutting Rocks, WA | 296.1 | 15.28 | 178.0 | 17.53 |
| Andersons Rocks, WA | 308.3 | 5.10 | 246.0 | 12.94 |
| Mt Madden, WA | 256.1 | 14.33 | 106.0 | 11.94 |
| McDermid Rocks, WA | 240.8 | 14.78 | 162.0 | 13.04 |
| Yendeng Rocks, WA | 218.4 | 9.47 | 78.0 | 11.94 |
| B. occidentalis |  |  |  |  |
| Rockwell Station, Qld | 503.6 | 24.56 | 69.6 | 4.81 |
| east of Carnarvon, WA | 478.8 | 19.22 | 36.6 | 6.00 |
| B. pinnata |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Dunn, Qld | 193.4 | 5.43 | 30.6 | 1.54 |
| Bloodwood Station, NSW | 204.3 | 14.49 | 24.8 | 1.76 |



Figure 1. a, B. affinis Emu Rock; b, B. affinis Bloodwood; $\mathbf{c}$, B. arborea, Yantabulla; d, B. australiensis, The Gums; e, B. australiensis, L. Goran; f, B. australiensis Bungarby; g, B. australiensis, Lake Hutchinson; h, B. australiensis, L. Goran, details of surface morphology; i, B. australiensis, Bungarby, details of surface morphology; j, B. basispina, Balladonia; k, B. basispina, Balladonia, details of surface morphology,; m, $B$. buchananensis, Bloodwood. Scales: white bar 50 ųm, black bar 10 ųm.
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B. arborea Geddes 1981 (Fig. 1c). Average size 214.9 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean depression number 20.7. Most depressions polygonal, some near pentagonal, regularly sized, with distinct triangular ridges with rounded ridgetops and irregularly punctuate. Depressions shallow (wh: dw ratio $<0.2$ ) with floors subplanar, with small dimples.
B. australiensis (Richters 1876) (Figs 1d-i). Average size $310.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 21.2. Most populations with eggs larger than $318 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and depressions fewer than 20 (Table 2). Depressions largely pentagonal, certainly polygonal (Fig. 1d,e,f,g). Ridges triangular (Fig. 1e) sometimes ropey (Fig. 1 g ), often with small spines (Fig. 1h,i). Depressions usually dimpled (Fig. 1d,e,f), sometimes smooth (Fig. 1g). Depressions range from shallow (wh:dw ratio $<0.2$ ) to moderately deep (wh:dw ratio $>0.5$ ) and associated floors vary in degree of concavity. Eastern (L. Hutchinson, The Gums, L. Goran, Bungarby) and western (near Esperance, Laverton) Australian populations with somewhat similar eggs, but the single population from Lake Poodina South Australia different (not illustrated, but see Table 2).
B. basispina Geddes 1981 (Fig. 1j). Average size $248.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 31.8. Depressions polygonal, irregularly sized, with rounded punctuate ridges merging with floors (Fig. 1k). Depressions deep (wh: dw $>0.5$ ) and floors markedly concave.
B. buchananensis Geddes 1981 (Fig. 1m). Average size $244.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 36.2. Depressions polygonal, irregularly sized, with triangular dimpled walls distinct from weakly concave, strongly dimpled floors. Depressions shallow (wh:dw <0.2).
B. budjiti Timms 2001 (Fig. 2a). Average size 225.9 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean depression number 20.8. Depressions polygonal, fairly regularly sized, and shallow (wh: $\mathrm{dw}<0.2$ ). Walls rounded and meeting the floors at a distinct break of slope. Ridges with transverse raised areas. Floors weakly concave and strongly dimpled.
B. campbelli Timms 2001 (Fig. 2b). Average size $191.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 29.2. Depressions polygonal, somewhat irregularly sized. Ridges wide, with rounded crests and sloping walls into concave floors. Ridge crests often minutely pitted and walls and depression floors concave; ridge sides and floor strongly dimpled. Depressions shallow (wh:dw about 0.2 ).
B. clandestina Timms 2005 (Fig. 2c). Average size $143.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 32.1. Depressions irregular, with dimpled floors and sides and thick ridges with rounded crests. Depressions deep (wh:dh $>0.5$ ) and floors markedly concave.
B. compacta Linder 1941 (Fig. 2d,e). Average size $381.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 20.6. Size and depression number variable between locations, especially between east (L. Avon) and west (Little Unicup L., Coomberdale) Australia (Table 2). Depressions polygonal, often pentagonal. Ridge crest rounded in Avon Lake site (Fig. 2d), but sharp and ridge triangular in cross section in western sites (Fig. 2e). Floor concave and dimpled in both. Depressions shallow in all populations (wh:dw $<0.2$ ).
B. complexidigitata Timms 2002 (Fig. 2f,g). Average size $268.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 127.8. Most depressions polygonal, similarly sized and shallow (wh:dw <0.2). Ridges narrow, steep sized and with a light coloured fringe midline extended irregularly into sharp points (Fig. 2g). No dimples on walls or on flat floors of depressions.
B. denticulata Linder 1941 (Fig. 2h). Average size $175.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 39.6. Depressions irregular, often linear with steep-sided ridges and deep (wh:dw $>0.5$ ). Floors markedly concave to U-shaped. Ridges and depression floors weakly dimpled.
B. dubia (Schwartz 1917) (Fig.2i). Average size 222.6 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean depression number 30.2. Depressions polygonal, many almost pentagonal. Ridges triangular in cross section, but with rounded crests. Floors of depressions flat; ridge walls and floors with elongated and angular dimples. Depressions shallow (wh:dw<0.2) .
B. frondosa Henry 1924 (Fig. 2j). Average size 202.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean depression number 32.7. Depressions roughly polygonal, irregularly sized. Ridges with rounded crests and steep sides, though slightly sloping at base. Ridges and depression floors weakly dimpled. Depressions moderately deep (wh:dw ca $0.3-0.5$ ) and floor almost flat though slightly concave slopping near ridge bases.
B. halsei Timms 2002 (Fig. 3a-d). Average size $189.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 44.2. Depression numbers variable between sites, the Ilparpa claypan


Figure 2. a, B. budjiti, Rockwell; b, B. campbelli, Bloodwood; c, B. clandestina, Yantabulla; d, B. compacta, Avon Lake; e, B. compacta, Moora; f, B. complexidigitata, L. Logue; g, B. complexidigitata, L. Logue, details of surface morphology; h, B. denticulata, Carnarvon; i, B. dubia, Derby; j, B. frondosa, Clifton Downs; $\mathbf{k}, B$. hattahensis, Currawinya; m, B. hattahensis, Currawinya, details of surface morphology. Scales: white bar 50 ųm, black bar 10 ųm.
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near Alice Springs, NT, being the most atypical (Table 2); most have fewer than 40 depressions. Depressions irregular, often linear, with steep sided ridges and deep (wh:dw $>0.5$ ), but the Ilparpa eggs with shallow depressions (Fig. 3c). Floor and ridge sides dimpled and ridge crests with minute elongated pits (Fig. 3d).
B. hattahensis Geddes 1981 (Fig 2k,m). Average size $257.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression 44.4. Depressions polygonal with triangular ridges and flat floors. Ridges often with white membranous extensions supported with spines slightly longer than the membrane, and often with three pronged anchorlike spines usually protruding at ridge wall junctions (Fig. 2m). Depressions tend to be moderate in depth (wh:dw 0.2-0.5) but some shallow (wh:dw <0.2). Depression floor and walls dimpled and sometimes adjacent depressions amalgamated.
B. kadjikadji Timms 2002 (Fig. 3e,f). Average size $297.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 102.5. Depressions polygonal, with adjacent ones sometimes amalgamated. Ridges rounded with a marked break of slope to the flat floor. Crests of ridges extended into white membranes supported regularly with thickened spines slightly longer than the membranes (Fig. 3f). Floor and ridge walls slightly dimpled. Depressions shallow (wh:dw $<0.2$ ) if only the basic ridge is considered, but if its membrane is included then the ratio is increased to $0.2-0.4$.
B. lamellata Timms and Geddes 2003 (Fig. 3g). Average size $182.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 47.1. Depressions irregularly polygonal, with triangular ridges merging with depression floors to give moderate concavities. Ridge crests rounded, floors and walls slightly dimpled. Depressions moderately deep with wh:dw ratio 0.3-0.5.
B. longirostris Wolf 1911 (Fig 4a-f). Average size $276.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 164.1. Size and number of depressions variable between localities (Table 2). Depressions generally polygonal, but sometimes with some lateral amalgamations (Fig 4a), thin walled and with spines at junctions of walls. These spines generally bi-hooked (Fig 4a,c) but sometimes hookless (Fig. 4d), usually long and numerous (Fig 4a,f), but sometimes sparse, short and stumpy (Fig.4d). Sometimes walls between compartments almost absent (Fig. 4b), or triangular in cross section, so that compartments have markedly concave floors (Fig. 4e), rather than typically flat floors (Fig. 4a). Depression floors typically strongly dimpled (Fig 4a, c, d,e), but may be smooth (Fig. 4b).

Depressions generally moderately or markedly deep (wh:dw $>0.5$, often $>0.8$ ).
B. lyrifera Linder 1941 (Fig 3h,i). Average size $213.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 615. Numerous small rounded pinnacles arranged around polygonal hollows, some amalgamated and many joined by low ridges. Each pinnacle with a few clumped white hair like structures thinning and largely absent from floor of depressions (Fig 3i). Height of pinnacles about half width of depressions. Depression floors concave and lumpy in the Bokeen claypan population (Fig 3h), but flat and smooth in the Plover pan population (not illustrated).
B. mcraeae Timms 2005 (Fig. 3j,k). Average size $175.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean number of depressions 35.4. Depressions broadly polygonal, many constricted and linear. Ridges triangular, base merging into floor of depressions so that floor markedly concave. Floor and side walls and wall crest strongly dimpled; wall crest also with pores (Fig. 3k). Depressions moderately deep, (wh:dw 0.3-0.5).
B. nichollsi Linder 1941 (Fig. 3m). Average size 295.3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean number of depressions 34.4. Depressions polygonal, some pentagonal. Walls triangular and floors weakly concave. Walls and floors strongly dimpled. Depressions shallow, (wh:dw $<0.2$ ).
B. occidentalis Dakin 1914 (Fig. 4g,h). Average size $492.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean number of depressions 53.1. Depressions irregular polygonal with steep walls and ridgetop with a fringe of short spines (Fig. 4h). Compound pores on ridge walls (Fig. 4h). Depressions deep (wh;Dw $>0.5$ ) and floors flat and dimpled (Fig. 4g) or markedly concave (Bulla claypan population). Depressions more numerous and deeper in east Australian population (Bulla claypan) than in population from the west (Carnarvon claypan).
B. papillata Timms 2008 (Fig. 4i). Average size 293.9 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean number of depressions 33.0. Depressions polygonal, with narrow wall crests and wide bases merging with the floors so that floors weakly concave. Floors and walls moderately dimpled. Depressions shallow, wh: dw $<0.2$.
B. pinderi Timms 2008 (Fig. 4j,k). Average size 292.1 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean number of depressions 95.2. Depressions regularly polygonal with some amalgamations. Thick walls with base merging with flat floors. Walls and depression floor covered with long hairs, more concentrated on the walls, otherwise almost smooth (Fig. 4k).


Figure 3. a, B. halsei, L. Hutchinson; b, B. halsei, Alice Springs; c, B. halsei, Pilbara; d, B. halsei, L. Hutchinson, details of surface morphology; e, B. kadjikadji, Wyalkatchem; f, B. kadjikadji, L. Hutchinson, details of surface morphology; g, B. lamellata, Thargomindah; $\mathbf{h}$, B. lyrifera, Bokeen claypan, Currawinya; i, B. lyrifera, Currawinya, details of surface morphology; j, B. mcraeae, Onslow; k, B. mcraeae, Onslow, details of surface morphology; m, B. nichollsi, Kalgoorlie. Scales: white bar 50 ųm, black bar 10 ųm.


Figure 4. a, B. longirostris, Walga Rock; b, B. longirostris, Wardagga Rock; c, B. longirostris, Yorkrakine Rock; d, B. longirostris, Mt Madden; e, B. longirostris, Yendang Rock; f, B. longirostris Walga Rock, details of surface morphology; g, B. occidentalis, Carnarvon; $\mathbf{h}$, B. occidentalis, Carnarvon, details of surface morphology; i, B. papillata, Esperance; j, B. pinderi, Onslow; k B. pinderi, Onslow, surface details; m. B. proboscida, Bloodwood. Scales: white bar 50 ųm, black bar 10 ųm.
B. pinnata Geddes 1981 (Fig. 5a,b). Average size $198.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean number of depressions 27.7. Depressions bold, polygonal with some constricted and all bordered by wide walls. Walls merge basally into almost flat floors. Floors and walls dimpled and ridge crests with numerous minute grooves (Fig 5b). Depressions moderately deep (wh:dw $0.3-0.5$ ).
B. proboscida Henry 1924 (Fig. 4m). Average size $220.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression numbers 72.7. Depressions regularly polygonal, some pentagonal and a few amalgamations. Walls triangular on a flat, slightly dimpled floor. Depressions shallow (wh:dw $<0.2$ ).
B. simplex Linder 1941 (Fig 5c,d). Average size 301.4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean depression numbers 41.0. Depressions regularly polygonal with some pentagonal. Walls ropey and flat floors with strong dimples (Fig. 5d). Depressions shallow (wh:dw $<0.2$ ).
B. vosperi Timms 2008 (Fig. 5e,f,g). Average size $433.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression numbers 111.2. Depressions polygonal, various sizes and many amalgamations. Walls thin, vertical and with thin extensions anastomosing and forming free filaments (Fig. 5f,g). Even thinner membrane between some of the anastomosing branches and extending to based of the free filaments. Depressions thus unusually deep (wh:dw $>0.8$ ), floors flat and lacking dimples.
B. wellardi Milner 1929 (Fig. 5h). Average size 181.1 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, mean depression numbers 47.2. Depressions polygonal, ridges triangular in cross section, floors concave and weakly dimpled. Some elevated flat areas between depressions. Depressions moderately deep (wh:dw $0.3-0.5$ ).

Branchinella new species K (Fig.5i). Average size $160.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 41.0. Depressions polygonal with thick walls forming wide rounded ridges. Floors subplanar, except where invaginated, and smooth. Depressions shallow (wh:dw $<0.2$ ).

Branchinella new species M (Fig. 5j). Average size $223.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 41.5 . Depressions somewhat polygonal, but generally constricted and often linear. Walls thick with ridge crests lumpy facilitated by weak transverse grooves. Floors concave and strongly dimpled. Depressions moderately deep (wh:dw $0.3-0.5$ ).

Branchinella new species S (Fig. 5k). Average size $169.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 50.4. Depressions
polygonal with thick walls forming wide rounded ridges. Floors flat to slightly concave, moderately dimpled. Depressions shallow to moderately deep (wh:dw $0.2-0.4$ ).

Branchinella new species Y (Fig. 5m). Average size $174.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mean depression number 35.3. Depressions irregularly polygonal, many constricted and linear. Walls wide and ridge crests rounded and with minute pores. Floors vary from subplanar in shallow depressions (wh:dw $<0.2$ ) to concave in deep depressions (wh:dw $>0.5$ ). Floors and ridge walls moderately dimpled.

## DISCUSSION

While egg morphology in large branchiopods is not as immutable as it was once thought (Brendonck et al. 1990; Mura \& Rossetti, 2010), there is still value in understanding the range of structures seem in the various species. Sometimes morphologies are distinct and invariable enough to be able to construct a key to species (e.g. for Eulimnadia of the world, Rabet, 2010, and pers. comm..), but in many genera there are species with unique morphology and other species which are too variable to choose a morphotype as distinctive. This is the case for Chirocephalus (Anostraca:Chirocephalidae) in Italy (Mura, 2001; Mura and Rossetti, 2010), Branchinecta (Anostraca: Branchinectidae) in North America (Mura, 1991a) and for Branchinella in Australia the situation is imtermediate

In understanding egg morphologies it is important to optimise the chances for studying mature unaltered eggs. Only mature females with full ovisacs of mature eggs should be chosen (Mura, 1991b) and the same author liked to obtain eggs from live females by allowing them to drop their eggs isolated in small containers, and hence avoid contamination. In the present study and many others (Brendonck et al. 1990; Thiery \& Gasc, 1991) this was not possible and eggs were carefully removed from the brood pouch of a female isolated from others to prevent contamination. Another factor rarely mentioned by other authors is the effect of dehydration (associated with egg age or environment or preservation?) on egg surface morphology. Many eggs, mainly those without strong polygonal morphology, appeared shrivelled, and if they could have been expanded then similarities in structure would have been more apparent. In the case of Tanymastix stagnalis (Linneaus) variability in egg shape was shown to be due to variable embryo volumes (Thiéry et al., 2007). In the present study


Figure 5. a, B. pinnata, Bloodwood; b, B. pinnata, Bloodwood, details of surface morphology; c, B. simplex, Lake Carey; d, B. simplex, Lake Carey, details of surface morphology; e, B. vosperi, Esperance; f, B. vosperi, Esperance, details of surface morphology; $\mathbf{g}, B$. vosperi, Esperance, details of surface morphology; $\mathbf{h}$, B. wellardi, Bloodwood; i, Branchinella n. sp. K, Birdsville Track; j, Branchinella n. sp. M, Moora; k, Branchinella n . sp S, Sumana; m, Branchinella n. sp. Y, Yarromere. Scales: white bar 50 ųm, black bar 10 ųm.
the simple explanation of variable dehydration associated with preservation is more likely. This shrivelling would have been another factor affecting egg size so that standard deviations for egg size need to be interpreted with care. Presumedly dehydration also occurs in nature, so that eggs in sediments could change shape and size as they age, but this has not been investigated.

All species in which more than one population was studied exhibited variation in egg morphology (Table 2), though usually within acceptable limits. For the widespread B. australiensis at least three morphotypes were observed (Fig. 1,Table 2) I Bloodwood, II The Gums, L Goran, Laverton, Snowleigh and III Poodina). For B. longirostris isolated on numerous inselbergs in Western Australia, at least six morphotypes were evident (Fig. 4, I = Andersons, Elachbutting, Walga; II = Madden; III $=$ McDermid; IV = Yorkrakine; V = Wardagga; VI $=$ Yendang). In the case of B. longirostris where morphologies of adult males are known (Zofkova and Timms, 2009), there is no relationship between egg type and adult features, as is also the case for Chirocephalus (Mura and Rossetti, 2010). For B. affinis, shrivelling associated with dehydration would explain most of the differences observed between populations (Fig. 1), though in B. halsei, the L Cronin and Ilparpa populations could represent different morphotypes (Fig. 3). In B. compacta there are either two morphotypes (Fig.2, I = L Avon; II = Little Unicup, Marchagee) or perhaps the difference between east and west Australia suggest the two groups may be separate species. In this case the two groups exhibit adult morphological differences, to be examined elsewhere. Though only one morphotype was noted in the present study for B. occidentalis, two apparently different ones were recorded by Timms et al. (2004). This situation has not been resolved, and indeed all the morphotypes mentioned above are enigmatic, much like the situation in Chirocephlaus ruffoi (Mura \& Rossetti, 2010).

Egg sizes vary markedly within and between species, not only in this study but for many species shared between Timms et al. (2004) and this study (Table 1). Three of the seven species where multiple populations were studied had egg sizes varying more than $65 \%$ (Table 2) and five of the 22 species common to Timms et al. (2004) and this study had sizes varying in excess of $45 \%$ (Table 1). Of the many factors affecting egg size (Mura, 1991b) altitude of collecting site and water chemistry are hardly important in this study, but female size could be. Although many studies have shown no such relationship (e.g. Mura, 1991b, Belk, 1977), for the
species studied here (ranging from 8 to 33 mm ) there is a positive relationship between female length and the size of eggs $\left(y=9.485 x+100.0 ; r^{2}=0.54, P>0.05 \%\right.$, where y is egg size and x is female length when preserved. It is conceivable that as females grow the eggs they produce in each batch could increase in size and so result in a range of sizes for a species. Species with particularly large eggs (> 300 ųm) include, in order from the largest, B. occidentalis, B. vosperi, B. compacta, B. australiensis and B. simplex. At the other extreme, small eggs (<200 ųm) are typical in $B$. clandestina, Branchinella new species K, S and Y, B. denticulata and B. meraeae.

The number of depressions on the egg surface is also variable within species. Across all species the mean number of depressions is 69 and median 39 . Species with numerous depressions include (from most numerous), B. lyrifera, B. complexidigitata, B. kadjikadji, B. vosperi and B. pinderi (all $>95$ ) Likewise species with unusually low numbers of depressions include $B$. compacta, B. arborea, B. budjiti and B. australiensis (all between 20.6 and 21.2). The number of depressions is unrelated to egg size and can vary between populations (Table 1 and 2). This is seen in B. affinis, B. australiensis, $B$. compacta, B. halsei, B. longirostris and B. occidentalis where standard deviations increased markedly when multiple populations were studied (Table 1).

Other morphometric features separating species include the presence of pores, particularly on wall crests, spines and/or transverse ridges on wall crests, the degree of dimple development, on the floors of the depressions, and most importantly the nature of superficial adnornments in the form of membranes and spines on the ridges. The adaptive value of these features is unknown, though for B. longirostris the hooked spines may be a deterrent for egg predators such as planarians known to be common in their habitat (Dumont, et al., 2002; Jocqué et al., 2007).

By a combination of all these features the following species have distinctive eggs (with reasons in parenthesis): B. occidentalis (egg size, complex pores, secondary frill on ridge crests), $B$. longirostris (numerous hooked spines, numerous depressions), B. vosperi (secondary membranes between support struts, numerous depressions, large egg), B. lyrifera (extremely numerous depressions, depression walls in form of mounds covered with hairs) B. pinderi (depression walls and adjacent floor covered with hairs), B. kadjikadji and B. complexidigitata (numerous depressions, crests with membranes between spines), B. hattahensis (few hooked spines and crest with incomplete membranes and few spines, strong dimpling of depression floors), $B$.
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simplex (strong dimpling of depression floors, ropey depression walls, shallow depressions), $B$. australiensis, B. compacta, and B. arborea (all with few deprssions, many tending towards pentagonal, shallow depressions) and $B$. budjiti (few depressions, many tending towards pentagonal, transverse ridges on walls, depression floors strongly dimpled). Species with minute pores in compartment walls and crests include B. basispina, B. halsei (not all populations), B. mcraeae, B. occidentalis (complex pores) and B. pinnata. Only B. australiensis (most populations) have numerous small spines (spikes) on elevated areas.

Because many species have similar eggs, or eggs which vary so that some populations have eggs similar to those of other species, it is difficult to establish a dichotomous key to delineate eggs. Eggs in sediments may also be dehydrated, although probably similarly to those preserved in alcohol. The key provided below does not identify all species, only those with distinctive characters. In the couplets only characters visible at up to 100 x magnification are used and care has been exercised in its preparation to allow for greater variation (20\%) than that generally observed in this study. By using greater magnification and referring to the descriptions above and Figs. 15 and Table 1 and 2, it may be possible to separate species among the groups in some couplets. Generic differentiation is certainly possible (Timms et al., 2004) and with this key many species of Branchinella can now be identified.

It remains to consider if there are any phylogenetic relationships between the egg types and the three systematic groups thought significant by Geddes (1981). While there are four pairs with considerable similarity - B. australiensis and B. compacta in Geddes' Group 1, B. affinis and B. denticulata in his Group II and B. basispina and B. frondosa, also B. dubia and B. arborea in Group III - there are far more dissimilarities than these few similarities (for example B. occidentalis is unique in Group I, B. longirostris is unique in Group II, and B. wellardi is unique in Group III. If species described since 1981 are added to the groups (Timms, 2002, 2005, 2009), then possible interrelationships become even more blurred. Overall though, resting eggs of Branchinella (subgenus Branchinella), which is endemic to Australia (Rogers, 2006) are characterised by having polygonal depressions and few spines. The only species in the subgenus Branchinellites studied (B. kugenumaensis and B. madurai, Brendonck and Belk, 1997) also have polygonal depressions, but the former has smooth ridges and the later dense spinulae, like those in B. australiensis.

## KEY TO EGGS OF SOME SPECIES OF BRANCHNELLA

[Use carefully. In couplets relying solely on in differences in size and number of depressions, it is possible not enough leeway has been given to possible variation in known values. So check the result against the descriptions and figures. The most reliable delinations are among those species with adornments (couplets 3 to 9 ).]

1a. Eggs with grooved surface depressionss (i.e. long deep furrows on surface), either dominating or sometimes secondary to elongated polygonal compartments .. 2
1b. Eggs with most surface depressions polygonal with subequal axes, but perhaps with a few as elongated polygons; no grooved depressions. .. .3

2 The following species are hard to separate, largely because their depression characteristics depend largely on the state of hydration. If the eggs are larger than 235 um they are likely to be B. basispina and if smaller than 180 ųm they could be B. clandestina, B. denticulata or Branchinella n. sp. Y. Other species with grooved surface depressions include $B$. affinis, most populations of $B$. halsei and Branchinella n .sp. M.

3a (1b). Depression wall crests with adornments adding to their height; adornments aligned along crests. .. 4
3b (1b). Depression wall crests smooth or lumpy or even slightly ridged, but without protruding spines, hooks or membranes (B. australiensis may have very short spines on the crests, but they are unaligned)... 9

4a (3a). Crest adornments string-like applied to surface .. 5
4 b (3a). Crest adornments in the form of protruding spines or membranes ... 6

5a (4a). Depressions indistinct, but moundlike horns at junctions; strings concentrated on these mounds; eggs with $>250$ depressions. .B. lyrifera
5 b (4a). Depressions distinctly polygonal; strings on walls and floor of depressions; eggs with $<120$ depressions B. pinderi

6a (4b). Eggs without spines but with adornment membranes stretched between digitiform struts on crests; eggs > $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. $\qquad$ 6b (4b). Eggs with membranes stretched between spines; eggs $<375 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.7

7a (6b). Spines simple
B.complexidigitata, B. kadjikadji 7 b (6b). Spines with 1-3 recurved apices, so they look like ship's anchors. $\qquad$ .8

8a (7b). Eggs with $<60$ depressions; depression walls inverted U-shaped; membrane between anchor-like spines with supporting struts; eggs from inland eastern Australia $\qquad$ .B. hattahensis 8b (7b). Eggs with $>75$, often $>125$ depressions; depression walls thin sheets or rarely lacking; often with a short membrane between spines and never with supporting struts; eggs from pan gnammas of WA.
..B. longirostris
$9 \mathrm{a}(3 \mathrm{~b})$. Eggs with short spines on crests of depressions; eggs with flat elevated areas between depressions; usually $<34$ depressions $\qquad$ B. australiensis 9b (3b). Eggs without short spines on depression crests; eggs usually without flat elevated areas between depressions (of present, then $>35$ depressions) .10

10a (9b). Eggs large $>450 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter; depression wall crest ridged and frilled, this being of similar material to that of crest and not a white adornment. .
B. occidentalis

10b (9b). Eggs smaller $<400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter, often much smaller; depression wall smooth or wrinked transversely .11

11a (10b) Fewer than 25 depressions........B. arborea, B, australiensis, B. budjiti, B. compacta 11 b (10b) More than 26 depressions. 12

12a (11b) More than 60 depressions....B. proboscida 12b (11b) Fewer than 50 depressions ................. 13

13a (12b) Egg size > 275 ųm............................ 14
13b (12b) Egg size < 270 ųm............................ 16
14a (13a) Depressions very shallow, depth/width ratio $<0.10$; compartment floors with large tumidities; compartment walls very uneven (lumpy)..B. simplex 14b (13a) Not as above. 15

15a (14b) Fewer than 30 depressions, relatively deep (depth/width ratio >0.4).....................B. australiensis 15b (14b) More than 31depressions, moderately deep (depth/width ratio 0.2-0.4)...B. nichollsi, B. papillata

16a (13b) Walls of depressions wide and rounded (i.e. inverted U-shaped), so crest is rounded. .17

16b (13b) Walls of depressions triangular in cross section so crests sharpish. .18

17a (16a) Depressions relatively shallow, depth/width ratio $>0.25$; number of depressions $>75$
B. halsei, Alice Springs

17b (16a) Depressions deep, depth/width ratio $>0.3$; number of depressions $<60$
..B. campbelli, B. frondosa, Branchinella n. sp. K, n. sp. S

18a (16b) Egg size > 210 ųm..........B. buchanensis, B. dubia

18b (16b) Egg size $<200$ ųm. 19

19a (18b) Floor of depressions smooth.....B. wellardi 19b (20b) Floor of depressions with tumidities. .B. lamellata, B. mcraeae
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Appendix 1. Localities for the species studied

| Species | Locality | Coordinates | Date | Collector* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. affinis | Turkey Pan, Bloodwood Station, 130 km NW of Bourke, NSW | $29^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 31-iii-1999 | BVT |
| B. affinis | a rock pool near Emu Rock, 51 km E of Hyden, WA | $32^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 54 \mathrm{~S} ; 119^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 21-ix-2004 | BVT |
| B. affinis | unnamed lake on Guest Rd, Grass Patch, WA | $33^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 56{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 121^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 19-i-2007 | BVT |
| B. arborea | roadside pool, N Yantabulla, 125 km NW of Bourke, NSW | $29^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 32{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 18-ii-2010 | BVT |
| B. australiensis | L. Hutchinson, 39 km E of Thargomindah, Qld | $27^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 9 -vi-1998 | BVT |
| B. australiensis | a gilgai 22 km W of The Gums, Qld | $27^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ S $149^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 7$ ' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 9 -vi-2008 | BVT |
| B. australiensis | Lake Goran, 20 km S of Curlewis, NSW | $31^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 15{ }^{\prime \prime}$ S; $150^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 8-iv-1996 | BVT |
| B. australiensis | East Snowleigh Lake, Bungarby, 51 km S of Cooma, NSW | $36^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 51{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 148^{\circ} 59,59{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 13-iii-2010 | BVT |
| B. australiensis | Lake Poodina, Gawler Ranges, SA | $31^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ S; $135^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 30-i-2007 | PH \& GT |
| B. australiensis | pool on Mt Nev Track, 56 km NW of Esperance, WA | $33^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 26 \mathrm{~S}$; $122^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 30-i-2007 | BVT |
| B. australiensis | pool 20 km W of Laverton, WA | $28^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 122^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 3$ " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 22-i-2007 | BVT |
| B. basispina | Balladonia Rock, 200 km E of Norseman, WA | $32^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 123^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 18-i-2007 | BVT |
| B. buchananensis | Gidgee Lake, Bloodwood Station, 130 km NW of Bourke, NSW | $29^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 50{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 20-ii-2010 | BVT |
| B. budjiti | clay pan on Rockwell Station, 180 km SW of Cunnamulla, Qld | $28^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 12{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 9 -vi-2007 | BVT |
| B. compacta | Avon Lake, 45 km S of Cooma, NSW | $36^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 149^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 14-iii-2010 | BVT |
| B. compacta | Little Unicup Lake, 13 km NNE of L. Muir, WA | $34^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 116^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 18-viii-2009 | BVT |
| B. compacta | Coomberdale West Rd, 20 km N of Moora, WA | $30^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ S; $115^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 6-ix-2009 | BVT |
| B. campbelli | Muella Lake, Bloodwood Station, 130 km NW of Bourke, NSW | $29^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$; $144^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 9{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 1-v-1998 | BVT |
| B. clandestina | swamp at Yantabulla, 125 km NW of Bourke, NSW | $29^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 36{ }^{\prime \prime}$ S; $145^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 20-i-2010 | BVT |
| B. complexidigitata | pool near Lake Logue, 13 km SW of Eneabba, WA | $29^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 0$ " $\mathrm{S} ; 115^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 17-ix-2009 | BVT |
| B. denticulata | unnamed canegrass pan, Carnarvon area, WA | $24^{\circ} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$; $114^{\circ} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | unknown | SH |
| B. dubia | pool, 89 km E of Derby on Gibb R Rd, WA | $17^{\circ} 26^{\prime \prime}$ S; $124^{\circ} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 31-i-1985 | MT |
| B. frondosa | pool on Clifton Downs Station, 135 km NW of Bourke, NSW | $29^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 53{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 26^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 8 -vi-2007 | BVT |
| B. halsei | L. Hutchinson, 39 km E of Thargomindah, Qld | $27^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ S; $144^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 17--i-2007 | BVT |
| B. halsei | Crescent Pool, Bloodwood Station, 130 km NW of Bourke, NSW | $29^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 18-x-2006 | BVT |
| B. halsei | Ilparpa claypan, Alice Springs, NT | $23^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 133^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 13-i-2010 | JR |
| B. halsei | Lake Cronin, 82 km E of Hyden, WA | $32^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 119^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 16-x-2008 | BVT |
| B. halsei | Yarraloola claypan, Mundabullengana Station, Pilbara, WA | $21^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 12{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 145^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 0{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 18-viii-2005 | JM \& AP |
| B. hattahensis | Mid Kaponyee Lake, Currawinya Nat. Pk., via Hungerford, Qld | $28^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 9$ "S; $144^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 7-xii-1999 | BVT |

## FAIRY SHRIMP EGGS

Appendix 1 continued

| 28-viii-2004 | BVT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-x-2001 | BVT |
| 26-viii-2001 | BVT |
| 25-viii-2001 | BVT |
| 2-viii-2003 | BVT |
| 2-viii-2003 | BVT |
| 28-viii-2001 | BVT |
| 5-ix-2010 | BVT |
| 19-i-2009 | BVT |
| 1-ix-2004 | BVT |
| $26-$-vi-2000 | BVT |
| 7-viii-1998 | BVT |
| 15-ii-2009 | BVT |
| $24-i-2007$ | BVT |
| 9-vi-2007 | BVT |
| $23-v i i i-1994 ~$ | SH |
| $29-i-2007$ | BVT |
| $15-i i-2009$ | BVT |
| $12-i i-2010$ | BVT |
| $19-i-2010$ | BVT |
| 2 2-vi-2001 | BVT |
| $24-i v-2004$ | BD |
| $30-i-2007$ | BVT |
| $7-v i-2007$ | BVT |
| $15-v i-2000$ | unknown |
| 5-ix-2009 | BVT |
| $2-i v-2009$ | BVT |
| $26-i i-2008$ | BVT | $30^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 117^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$

$28^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 0 " \mathrm{~S} ; 144^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$
 $27^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 117^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $29^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 117^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 1 .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ $31^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 117^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $30^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 118^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $32^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ S; $118^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $33^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 119^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $32^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$; $120^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $29^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 120^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $28^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $29^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{~S}$; $144^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $21^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 115^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $30^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ S; $121^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $28^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ S; $144^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ E unknown
$33^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 122^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $21^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$; $115^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $22^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 145^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $29^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $29^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 144^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $28^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$; $122^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $33^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ; 122^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $29^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$; $144^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ unknown
29 ${ }^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$; $115^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 1$ " E



[^0]
[^0]:    $\mathrm{BD}=$ Bindy Datson; PH \& GT $=$ Peter Hudson \& Graeme Thomason; $\mathrm{SH}=$ Stuart Halse; $\mathrm{JM} \& \mathrm{AP}=$ Jane Mcrae and Adrian Pinder;
    $\mathrm{JR}=$ Jochem van der Reijden; BVT = Brian Timms; MT = Mike Tyler.

