**Netrostylis**, a new genus of Australasian Cyperaceae removed from *Tetraria*
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**Abstract**
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**Introduction**

Recent molecular phylogenetic studies in Cyperaceae have greatly increased our understanding of relationships in the family (Muasya et al. 2009; Muasya 2016; Larridon et. al. 2018a, 2018b, 2019; Barrett et al. 2019, 2021; Semmouri et al. 2019; Elliott et al. 2021; Larridon et al., 2021a, 2021b). This paper provides a new generic name for a segregate group of Australasian species previously included in *Tetraria*, which molecular data have consistently shown to be unrelated to *Tetraria s. str.* Detailed studies of Australasian taxa in the *Lepidosperma* Labill. clade have consistently resolved *Tetraria capillaris* (F.Muell.) J.M.Black sister to the monotypic South African genus *Neesenbeckia* Levyns. These two taxa form a clade sister to the predominantly Australasian genus *Lepidosperma* and these three form a clade sister to the more widespread genus *Machaerina* Vahl. (Larridon et al. 2021b). The now established phylogenetic position of *Tetraria capillaris* was first recovered by Slingsby and Verboom (2006) and Verboom (2006). The genus *Tetraria* (*sensu* Levyns 1947, Bruhl 1995 or Goetghebeur 1998) has subsequently been significantly redefined, but remains paraphyletic (Slingsby and Verboom 2006; Verboom 2006; Barrett et al. 2012a; Viljoen et al. 2013; Elliott and Muasya 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020; Elliott et al. 2019, 2021). The nomenclatural changes proposed here are made in advance of a revised global classification of the family Cyperaceae with the aim of circumscribing a monophyletic *Tetraria* (Larridon et al. 2017, 2018b, 2021b; Elliott et al. 2021).
Tetraria capillaris has endured a rather tortuous history of generic placement in tribe Schoeneae. Originally described by Hooker (1858) as *Chaetospora capillacea* Hook.f., a name pre-dated by *Chaetospora capillacea* Nees (1834), Mueller (1875) recognised that a new epithet was required and created the name *Chaetospora capillaris* F.Muell. Following this, the generic placement has been in a state of regular flux. Bentham (1878) transferred this species to *Elynanthus* P.Beauv. ex T.Lestib.; Clarke (in Cheeseman, 1906) transferred it to *Cladium* P.Browne; Fernald (1923) placed it in *Mariscus* Scop.; Black (1934) placed it in *Tetraria* where it has generally been accepted (e.g. Moore and Edgar 1970; Rye 1987; Wilson 1993, 1994; Wheeler and Graham 2002); but Koyama (1956) transferred it to *Machaerina* Vahl. Koyama (1956) was the only one of these authors to correctly identify the relationship of this species with the *Lepidosperma* clade. The only other named species in this complex was originally named *Heleocharis* (*Eleocharis*) *halmaturina* J.M.Black by Black (1927) and subsequently transferred to *Tetraria* by Black (1943). *Tetraria monocarpa* (J.M.Black) J.M.Black does not belong to this complex, rather it is a synonym of *Schoenus carsei* Cheeseman (Blake 1943), which is superficially similar to *Tetraria capillaris*.

Netrostylis species have a particularly distinctive persistent style base, similar to those found in *Tetraria s. str.* and this is the main reason that *Netrostylis* was included in *Tetraria* by Black (1934) and this classification was supported by Blake (1943) based on the same character state. Blake (1943) noted the nearly distichous glumes, only one barren flower and minute hypogynous bristles present in some species. However, he particularly emphasised the persistent style base as a character shared with *Tetraria*. The habit is very different from *Tetraria*, more like that of *Neesenbeckia* and some *Machaerina* and *Lepidosperma* species where the leaves are reduced to bracts and the culms are terete or angled. These similarities are sufficiently close that Bentham (1878) included some material of *Netrostylis* in his new species *Lepidosperma leptostachyum* Benth. (Barrett and Wilson 2012).

*Neterostylis* is sister to the monotypic genus *Neesenbeckia* Levyns, endemic to the Cape Province of South Africa, which has a 6-fid style and the persistent style base on the nutlet is prominently 6-ribbed, smooth and white (Browning and Goetghebeur 2017). *Netrostylis* is allied to *Lepidosperma*, a genus with a very similar distribution that can be distinguished by the six (or three in *L. forsythii* A.A.Ham.) thickened, divided hypogynous scales at the base of the nutlet (Barrett et al. 2012c). *Netrostylis* also has affinities with *Machaerina* Vahl (including *Baumea* Gaudich.) which can be distinguished by the new ramets growing out at similar depth to parent ramet, fruit with spongy exocarps, and the lack of a fusiform, persistent style base (Larridon et al. 2021b).

**Methods**

Relevant specimens have been examined by the authors at: AD, AK, B, BM, BRI, CANB, CHR, DBN, FI, G, HO, HBG, K, L, LD, MEL, NE, NSW, P and PERTH. The description is based on herbarium material, however *N. capillaris* and *N. halmaturina* have also been examined in the field by the authors.

**Key to genera in the Lepidosperma clade**

1. Two middle glumes larger than others; perianth of 6 bristles equal to or longer than the nutlet; stigma 6-fid .................................................. *Neesenbeckia*

   1: Glumes of increasing length from the base, upper glumes the largest; perianth of thickened scales persistent at base of nutlet or bristles 0–5, shorter than the nutlet; stigma (2-)3-fid.............................. 2

   2. Perianth of thickened scales persistent at base of nutlet; style base usually cap-like on nutlet (conical to pyramidal only in *L. evansianum* and *L. rostratum*) ......................... *Lepidosperma*

   2: Perianth absent, of flattened scales, or of 1–5 bristles; style base persistent, shortly pyramidal or spindle-like.......................................................... 3

   3. New ramets growing out at similar depth to parent ramet; style base shortly pyramidal (continuous with nutlet apex) .................................................... *Machaerina*

   3: New ramets growing out above parent ramet (candelabriform); style base spindle-shaped (constricted at base).................................................. *Netrostylis*
Taxonomy

*Netrostylis* R.L.Barrett, J.J.Bruhl & K.L.Wilson, gen. nov.

**Type species:** *Netrostylis capillaris* (F.Muell.) R.L.Barrett, J.J.Bruhl & K.L.Wilson (based on *Chaetospora capillaris* F.Muell.).

Tufted stoloniferous perennials, usually less than 1 m high (rarely to 1.6 m), rhizome tightly clumping to spreading, new culms within a ramet arising slightly higher than the parent culm resulting in a compact candelabrum arrangement. New ramets forming from long rhizomes. *Culms* scapose. Culms and leaves erect to spreading, terete or quadrangular, capilliform and decumbent to rigid and erect. *Leaves* basal; blade usually strongly reduced on the sheath (rarely to 3 cm long), ligule present, cleft. *Inflorescence* open or contracted paniculate, usually pseudolateral (sometimes appearing to be terminal and spicately condensed), usually with few spikelets. Primary bracts usually short, occasionally long, sheathing, often sub-erect. *Spikelets* with several spirally arranged, long persistent glumes of increasing length, upper 1 or 2 glumes each subtending a flower, enclosed by the wings of the next glume. Lower flower functionally male, upper (occasionally 2) flower(s) bisexual. Bristles absent or filiform. Hypogynous scales present, united and thickened only at the base, forming a small ring or cup fused to the base of the nutlet, sometimes producing 3 flat scales or up to 5 filiform, barbellulate bristles; *Stamens* 3. *Style* (2-)3-fid, hairy; style base distinct, much thickened, persistent, papillose-tuberculate. *Nutlet* ovate, rounded 3-angular, surface usually smooth below, usually scabrous on persistent, spinele-shaped style base. (Figures 1, 2)

**Diagnostic characters:** Related to *Neesenbeckia* Levyns, differing in the style being (2-)3-fid (*vs* 6-fid); the style base being spindle-shaped (*vs* variously swollen and 6 ribbed), usually papillose (*vs* glabrous), and brown (*vs* white); 3 stamens (*vs* 6); and candelabriform, slender (*vs* lateral, robust) rhizomes.

**Distribution:** A genus of about eleven species in Australia and New Zealand, most still undescribed (Barrett et al. 2012b). A revision currently in preparation plans to recognise five species endemic to south-western Australia, three species endemic to south-eastern Australia, two species endemic to north-eastern Australia and one endemic to New Zealand.

**Etymology:** Derived from the Greek *netron* (spindle) and *stylis* (style) in reference to the spindle-shaped style base persistent on the nutlets of this genus. Thus derived, *Netrostylis* is feminine (see Turland et al. 2018; Art. 62.2) as is *Tetraria*, so no alterations of epithet terminations are required for the two species transferred from that genus.
Fig. 2. *Netrostylis capillaris*. A. Habit. B. Fertile spikelet. C. Fertile glume and flower. D. Style, ovary and perianth scales. Reproduced and re-arranged from Hooker (1858: Tab. CXLI).
Affinities: Most closely allied to the monotypic genus Neesenbeckia Levyns. Netrostylis is distinguished from Neesenbeckia by the spindle-shaped persistent style base on the nutlet. Neesenbeckia has a distinctive (large when young), smooth, white, persistent style base on the nutlet, which is prominently 6-ribbed, and the style is also 6-fid (Browning and Goetgebeur 2017) versus (2-)3-fid in Netrostylis. The slender rhizome is characterised by an unusual candelabrum-type development. Each new shoot arises beside the parent shoot, but usually a little higher than the parent, creating a candelabrum shape. These candelabrinform rhizomes of Netrostylis are quite distinctive and make it possible to recognise the genus from sterile specimens.

Netrostylis is otherwise allied to Lepidosperma which is easily distinguished by the hypogynous scales, which are thickened at the base but not or scarcely fused around the base of the nutlet. In some Netrostylis species, a prominent ring or cup-shaped base fused to the nutlet (often breaking off at maturity) is probably analogous to the hypogynous scales found in Lepidosperma, but this structure only sometimes produces 3 broad, thin scales or up to 5 filiform bristles. Lepidosperma rostratum S.T.Blake is unusual in having a rostrate persistent style base covered in fine papillae somewhat similar to that of Netrostylis (though lacking the fusiform apex), but genetic data place this taxon within Lepidosperma (Barrett et al., 2012c).

Netrostylis also has affinities with Machaerina Vahl (including Baumea Gaudich.). Machaerina can be distinguished by the fruit with spongy exocarp and the lack of a fusiform, persistent style base. Baumea has consistently been resolved within Machaerina in phyllogenetic studies (e.g. Viljoen et al. 2013; Larridon et al. 2021b).

Netrostylis capillaris s.l. is similar in appearance to Schoenus carsei, which has a similar distribution in New Zealand, but a more restricted distribution in southern Australia relative to Netrostylis. When fertile, the two are readily distinguished by the flexuous rachilla and absence of a persistent style base on the nutlet in S. carsei, but the differences are more subtle in sterile specimens. When fresh, the culms of Schoenus carsei are 'striped' longitudinally with whitish stomatal zones alternating with yellow-green non-stomatal zones, but this is less obvious when dried. The culms of Netrostylis are relatively uniform in colour, stomatal zones rarely being strongly evident. A leaf ligule is present in S. carsei and in N. capillaris, but in the latter has a free limb that is cleft more or less in the middle. The persistent sheaths on the rhizome are usually straw-coloured in N. capillaris and light to dark brown in S. carsei. Gardner (1998a, 1998b) provides four additional useful vegetative characters to recognise N. capillaris (vs S. carsei) in New Zealand: culms usually <0.8 (vs 0.8–2) mm diam.; pith of culms finely but distinctly septate (vs continuous); reduced leaf blade <5 (vs 10–30) mm long; and the margins at the apex of the leaf sheath minutely setose (vs glabrous). In New Zealand, these species may grow together, but in Australia, S. carsei tends to grow in wetter habitats than Netrostylis capillaris.

Netrostylis capillaris (F.Muell.) R.L.Barrett, J.J.Bruhl & K.L.Wilson, comb. nov.


Type: Australia: Tasmania: Dry sandy banks by the Kermandie [River], Hospital Bay, south Huon River, [1850s], A.F. Oldfield 145 (holo: K 000960101!).

Notes: The earliest published epithet for this species, Chaetospora capillacea Hook.f. was illegitimate at the time of publication, being a later homonym of Chaetospora capillacea Nees (1834), and therefore cannot be transferred to Netrostylis, thus the combination is based on Mueller's (1875) replacement epithet.

We have only located a single specimen matching the protologue, so we consider the cited specimen to be a holotype. It bears pencil drawings of the spikelets typical of those made by Hooker, and matching those published with the original description (Figure 2).


Type: South Australia: Kangaroo Island: Rocky River, 18 November 1924, J.B. Cleland s.n. (holo: AD 98018480!; iso: K 000960102!).

Notes: There is only a single collection at AD matching the protologue, and it is unlikely that the duplicate at K had been distributed at the time the species was described, so we consider these sheets to be a holotype and isotype respectively.
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